

In This Issue

Editor: Sabrina Carle Publisher: Commodity Systems, Inc. Lavout/design: Moran Advertising, Inc.

Notice: The views and information expressed in this document reflect exclusively the opinions and experience of the author, Robert C. Pelletier. NEITHER CSI NOR THE AUTHOR UNDERTAKE OR INTEND TO PROVIDE TAX ADVICE OR TRADING ADVICE IN ANY MARKET **OR ENDORSE ANY OUTSIDE** INDIVIDUAL OR FIRM, ALL RECOMMENDATIONS ARE PROVIDED FOR THEIR INFORMA-**TIONAL VALUE ONLY. Readers** should consult competent financial advisors or outside counsel before making any trading, software purchase, or investment decision. CSI does not stand behind or endorse the products of any outside firms.

Advertisements Enclosed: We have enclosed advertisements for "Systems USA" offered by London Financial, Inc. and Colin Alexander's book, "Five Star Futures Trades" offered by Windsor Books.

CSI accepts advertisements to accompany our Technical Journal for the sole purpose of defraying postage costs.

Copyright © 1997 Commodity Systems, Inc. (CSI). All rights reserved.

Computed Contracts: Their Meaning, Purpose and Application

It is an unending study of an everchanging subject. It is a quest that takes commodity traders and technicians deep into the history of the markets, brings them rushing back to

the present and hurls them pensively into the future. Technical analysis is indeed an exciting, sometimes grueling business; one which leads its practitioners to tackle large quantities of historical data for individual commodities. Speculators demand a workable way to view the markets that simulates the perils, profits and pitfalls of actual trading. Those in the know are finding that the most meaningful results can be found in the study of "computed" contracts, which are derived from, but do not

exactly mirror actual market activity. This is a discussion of the various types of computed contracts available to CSI data resource subscribers.

Let's start with the basic fact that futures contracts are relatively short lived. They are created on some date by traders on some exchange floor and eventually die when their delivery dates are reached. This birth-death process for commodity and futures contracts is an inherent characteristic that cannot be ignored. Some commodity contracts have longer lives than others. Grain contracts, for example, trade for a year or two, while financial markets may be traded six to ten years into the future. In all markets, nearby contracts (those about to expire) enjoy much heavier volume and open interest than contracts with later expiration dates. Technical traders are wary of entering illiquid markets,

where order-execution slippage can take a significant toll on both actual profits and efficient order execution. Liquidity factors relating to open interest and volume, life span and distance from expiration are all important considerations.

Nearest Future Contracts

Traders of the 1950s and before were comfortable viewing a concatenation of contracts of the same commodity over time. These were created by manually splicing together

the nearest portion of successive delivery months into a series covering ten, 20 or even 50 years. They could then simulate the practice of trading and viewing the more active (and most liquid) period of each successive contract to obtain a feel for trends. volatility and opportunity for profit. Many traders still prefer viewing the markets from a nearest-contract perspective. An advantage to this approach is that the most heavily traded portion of every contract viewed in the concatenated series is a representation of the actual market prices. A major disadvantage is that significant price jumps or drops

(continued on page 2)

Computed Contracts... (continued from page 1)



Back-adjusted live cattle with detrending - Notice that the negative values are eliminated and equal weighting is applied to all values in the historical series.

Contract choice in its Portfolio Manager. When selecting the Nearest-Future contract option, users may select the calendar delivery months to include. Most users wish to analyze the first nearest future, but the 2nd, 3rd, etc. nearest future may be selected instead, to add distance and time from delivery risk. There is also a prompt allowing users to select the day and relative month of rollforward. This option also adds distance from delivery risk and clarifies when to expect one contract to roll to the next.

While viewing a chart drawn from this or any other computed series, UA users can display the name, price, volume and open interest of the actual underlying contract in a movable window. This is done by positioning UA's cross-hair cursor on the selected day and pressing the right mouse button.

Gann Contracts

Gann enthusiasts represent another trading group that is interested in simulating markets over a wider spectrum of contract history. This group views the markets similarly to the nearest future proponents, with the exception that like contracts (those with the same delivery month classification) of a commodity are concatenated. For example, a Gann time series might hold the final year of the June 1987 contract, followed by the final year of the June 1988 contract, followed by successive June contracts up to and including the most current June contract that lies within 12 months of its expiration date. Unfair Advantage accommodates this type of analysis through the Gann Contract choice in its Portfolio Manager. First the single delivery month to be used for this Gann series is chosen. Then comes the roll date, which can be selected as any day relative to month start. This allows for rolling on, say, the first or tenth day of the month, or any date the user selects. The ability to gain more distance from delivery is available for these series by opting to roll in the calendar month prior to expiration. UA users can simply enter a 0 to roll during the delivery month, 1 to roll one month prior to the delivery month, or even higher numbers to roll earlier.

The Gann approach may be better than the nearest-future variety because there are fewer discontinuities. On the other hand, the one-year segments of a "Gann file" may be too

long to yield meaningful information. What may have been learned from the distant (early) portion of each oneyear segment of the time series may not readily apply to the more volatile later portion of each successive oneyear series. As a contract approaches maturity, its characteristics such as volatility and trading volume gradually increase until a maximum level is reached near the end of each delivery month's contribution to the overall series. Unfortunately, the later period of each contract is likely, in a statistical sense, to show no resemblance to the relatively tame earlier period. This phenomenon suggests a lack of stationarity, a statistical property explained in the Perpetual Contract® data discussion below.

Perpetual Contract Data

In 1970, when the computer became more popular for analysis, CSI unveiled its trademarked Perpetual Contract data. This computed contract, very popular among CSI subscribers, represented a time-weighted average price of the two active contracts that lie earlier and later than a fixed number of days and months ahead of the then-current date. This method of calculation remains popular because it provides an accurate view of the market's characteristic wave form over time that is "perpetual" in nature. It is similar to the forward contracts offered by the London Metals Exchange (LME). The major drawback of the Perpetual Contract data approach is that the contracts cannot be traded directly, and can only be used as a guide for overall market direction. They are used to assist in examining long-term analysis alternatives. They should not be heavily relied upon in examining agricultural markets where different supply-and-demand conditions may affect the distinct old and new crops. An alternative to the standard Perpetual Contract data is the open interestweighted Perpetual Contract which has a near-contract view that results from all contract prices being weighted by their respective open interest.

Advocates of Perpetual Contract data series point out that these series are more likely to exhibit statistical stationarity than, say, a Gann contract. This is particularly true when there is a long enough period from birth to death to change the contract's volatility over time. The concept of "stationarity" is simple to understand. For a serially correlated time series to be stationary (and most time series are serially correlated), the mean and variance of the series must remain statistically constant. Another significant advantage of Perpetual Contract data is that it offers flexibility to focus on near or far contracts as single independent series for analysis purposes. For example, an analyst could pair off far-forward future hogs against nearby corn (the raw material needed to produce the hogs) to study the dependent impact of these two commodities on each other.

Unfair Advantage accommodates this type of analysis through the Perpetual Contract choice in its Portfolio Manager, where many options give the user flexibility to fine-tune the study. Any or all contract months may be included in a Perpetual Contract series, but generally all active trading months (the default response) are represented over time. The Perpetual Contract data user must choose how many months ahead to view the market. Three months is the usual distance, but a two-month forward series may be appropriate for commodities that expire every month or two such as the energy products and perhaps some precious metals. Farther-out studies can also be useful as in the above example of near corn and far-off hogs. Perpetual Contract users have the same rollforward (continued on page 4)



Computed Contracts... (continued from page 3)

The Traditional Steps of Time Series Analysis

In statistical time series analysis, there are four components of information that the analyst should address before attempting to use the data for prediction. The most important component is the long-term inherent trend of the data. Unfair Advantage users have the option to remove that component so that trading biases (such as inflation) don't affect short- and intermediateterm trading decisions and can be exposed for study.

The second component is the long-term secular or cyclical component that describes the influences of the economy and the effects of other sympathetic and substitutable products. For example, in the bog market there is a four-year cycle that combines the supply and demand influences of production, slaughter, and marketing of bogs. A four-year cycle means that a complete sine wave can be formed in that time period. Beginning at a base line for a four year cycle, for example, prices may rise for one year to a peak, then fall for 2 years through the base line to a trough, then rise another year to return to the base line from which the cycle began. The process then repeats as long as the longer-term cycle dynamics remain intact.

The third component is the act of deseasonalization, which in products that grow or are sympathetic to products which depend upon the calendar (live hogs is influenced by the price of corn, for example) can be easily computed to form the annual pattern for a given market. Unfair Advantage has a study which will paint the seasonal pattern, if any emerges, for a given market.

(continued on side bar on page 5)

options as nearest future and Gann traders. The Perpetual Contract choice in the Portfolio Manager is also used to affect open interest weighting on the data, whereby no rolling is involved and weighting is based solely on speculative and trader interest.

Back- and Forward-Adjusted Contracts

More recently, traders have shown an interest in back- and forwardadjusted contracts. Back-adjusted contracts use the actual prices of the most recent contract with a backward correction of price discontinuities for successive earlier active delivery months. In a forward-adjusted contract, the prices of the current contract are changed to eliminate the gap between the current and recently expired contract. An important aspect to remember about forward-adjusted contracts is that current prices do not represent actual values for today's markets. Because of the removal of contract-to-contract price jumps and drops in both back- and forwardadjusted contracts, they appear as smooth, blended, homogeneous price histories representing a sorted and concatenated compilation of successive contracts over time.

This method of joining contracts in a series over a period of years or decades permits the analyst to focus on the period when one might prefer to trade the markets in actual practice. Traders often wish to communicate their own rolling preferences so that they will not be simulating trading situations when there is either a risk of delivery or an exposure to highly volatile markets. To accommodate these preferences, UA lets users choose their desired delivery months and a rollforward date. The roll-forward date may be relative to the start or end of the month for rolling. The option of picking a roll date relative to the month end is useful for traders who want to avoid risking delivery of their

commodities by rolling out of a contract on or before the first notice day, which is often calibrated relative to the end of the month. Back- and forward-adjusted files can also roll when heaviest volume or open interest shifts from one contract to the next. The switching of contracts based on volume or open interest is always based on the previous day's data because these values are released one day late by the commodity exchanges. For example, a rollover based on a change in volume or open interest on Monday would not be reflected in the data file until Tuesday. If heavy volume or open interest switches back to an earlier contract, the current delivery month will not change, as it is locked in to avoid confusing oscillations. Although the menu choice of these adjusted files is called "Back-adjusted" the user can forward-adjust the data just as easily by checking the appropriate box in the Portfolio Manager.

The delta is another user-defined option which heavily impacts the adjusted files. The delta refers to the data points used to calculate the back or forward adjustment value. It closes the gap between adjacent contracts by focusing upon the close-to-open, close-toclose or the open-to-open price differential of successive pairs of contracts to be joined. The option of comparing the openprice of the new lead contract with the previous day's close price of the former lead contract may produce a little more distortion than the other two because overnight price fluctuations may inappropriately increase or decrease the adjustment value.

Negative Values in Back- and Forward-Adjusted Series

An advantage of the back-adjusted approach to long-term market synthesis and simulation is that the data observed is precisely the same as the exchange's representation of the final contract in the concatenated series. A flaw in back adjusting is the strong chance that an inflation-sensitive market could produce negative price quantities into the past. The same logic allows forward-adjusted contracts in a deflationary environment to produce negative current prices for today. The suggestion that prices can be negative in actuality is clearly flawed and could discredit the accuracy of such a methodology for longer term analysis. No one would really pay you to take 50 bars of gold away or pay you to take thousands of pounds of cotton. This flaw demonstrates that a bias is introduced through the removal of contract-to-contract price discontinuities.

When early contract prices in a concatenated set are significantly less than their real contract counterparts, they tend to produce a bias that in simulated trading would heavily favor the act of buying over selling. In addition, even if the early contract prices are not significantly different from their current-contract counterparts, inflation could play a role in influencing buying over selling when such a long series is introduced as representative of current pricing norms. This phenomenon should tell you that your results may be invalid and that applying in the present what you have learned by simulating the past can distort your trading algorithm. Fortunately, there is a way this bias can be removed without compromising the validity of your simulation.

Detrending to Remove a Long-Side Bias

Users of back- or forward-adjusted series can, through a simple time series analysis transformation, remove the upward or downward trend tendencies by detrending the portion of the series that connects the final current contract with the earlier balance of the series. This approach, which is found in the back-adjustment logic of the Unfair Advantage data warehousing system, removes any evidence of long-term trend for any length series so that trading can be simulated without the danger of favoring long trades over short trades.

Two alternatives for detrending are offered. One allows detrending up to the very end of the contract that lies before the current contract; the other detrends up to one day short of the period end. This series includes all of the current contract up to, but not including the very last day on file. The latter approach may be most suitable for use with UA's Seasonal Index study.

The idea of detrending is meant to apply only to the longest possible time period. This would be the period of time that incorporates all or virtually all available history for the market to be studied. It wouldn't be practical to detrend the short-to-intermediate oscillatory period. This more fruitful period should be left in the data for the technician to study.

Little or no penalty stems from the detrending process because all data is viewed from today's perspective, with today's prices (when the entire series is detrended). Before detrending, each price in a historical data file is assumed to be measured by today's dollars. Given the effects of inflation over the years, this is clearly a faulty assumption. Consider that a six-cent price move in 1966 may have represented a limit-up or limit-down situation, whereas, the same six-cent move today might be considered insignificant. When data is not detrended, that very significant six-cent move of 1966 is rendered as insignificant as a six-cent move today. Detrending, on the other hand, returns integrity to data from the distant past by putting it back into proper perspective. The importance of detrending is that it increases the chance that analytical results derived from yesteryear will be relevant and comparable to trading conditions in today's market.

(continued on page 6)

(continued from side bar on page 4)

The resulting pattern can produce a weekly picture of the emerging seasonal pattern over time. The wave form produced can then be used to measure what might be expected for the future, depending upon the relative date within the current year. In its present form, the seasonal index can also be used as an input to measure how the seasonal cycle should appear in the future.

The fourth component is what may be classified as the irregular or less predictable and somewhat random component. Removal of the long-term trend is generally considered essential because by removing the trend, the less visible components become apparent. Similarly, the seasonal component can belp to uncover contra-seasonal forces from which an advantage might be gained. When a strongly seasonal market moves away from the seasonal pattern, an unusual opportunity for profit may be uncovered. Unfair Advantage does not remove the seasonal pattern. It only computes it either for graphical review or as input for another study.

The most troublesome of the four components is the irregular component because this is the item which the speculator must analyze. There is bope for uncovering the longer term cyclical pattern, and certainly there is sufficient data in the Unfair Advantage database to produce the repetitive pattern. if it exists with sufficient regularity to become a tool for prediction. Just like knowing the seasonal effects, knowing the form of the cyclical pattern can be a great belp in knowing when contra-cyclic forces can be used to your advantage.

Computed Contracts... (continued from page 5)

"Don't be fooled by analysis results which suggest that the simulated performance of a non-detrended series produces greater bindsight profits than the same series in detrended form." Don't be fooled by analysis results which suggest that the simulated performance of a non-detrended series produces greater hindsight profits than the same series in detrended form. Remember that this process removes a bias that may give the false impression that buying is always better than selling. Such results are not achievable in future trading practice. You also cannot easily trade across contract boundaries without paying a heavy slippage and commission tax, even if you have carefully spliced together successive independent series.

Deciding Which Computed Approach to Follow

There are many considerations in choosing computed contracts for analysis and, eventually, for impacting investment decisions. Each category has some unique value. Both the nearest future contract and Perpetual Contract data can view the markets from early and distant delivery perspectives by focusing upon contracts that expire either early or late with respect to any given current date. The Perpetual Contract is the only viable approach that can focus upon a fixed period forward in time and therefore achieve a substantial level of statistical stationarity.

From an astrological perspective, perhaps only the Gann computation is valid. It seems to have the advantage of offering a predictably long period of time to view a market on an annualized basis and may have some longevity benefits not possible with nearestfuture contracts. Nearest future contracts have the advantage of focusing upon the most liquid period of a contract's life, but the disadvantage of offering very brief periods of individual contract data.

Perpetual Contract data, nearest future contracts and Gann contracts could also benefit from a detrending option which is in the works for Unfair Advantage. The overlooked idea of detrending computed data is especially useful because, without loss of substance, one can get data into a form where profits and losses are not subject to extremes, portions of an entire time series can receive equal weight treatment, and the early portions of a detrended inflationary series are progressively amplified so that they appear in as volatile a form as the most current data. Given the situation where any form of computed data is not to be detrended, the Perpetual Contract is a reasonably safe alternative.

The back-adjusted contract offers the most flexibility for the user. Current data can be supplied as it was actually traded in exchange-released form and past data can be expressed in adjusted and detrended form. The mechanical effects of back adjusting and price inflation can be removed, making the detrended series an excellent source of information for seasonal analysis. A minor disadvantage to the back- or forward-adjusted contract is the heavy computing requirements necessary to produce the resulting series. Total computing time is measured in seconds rather than microseconds, making it necessary to wait for results.

This message is presented to guide you in your study of the commodity markets and to help you understand the ever-changing subject at hand. It is not only for those who are contemplating building trading systems based on computed contract series, but also for those whose trading systems have been derived from such approaches. Each type of computed contract discussed here can add some visibility to market analysis. It is important to consider both the strengths and possible weaknesses inherent in these methods to maximize profits and preserve capital in actual trading. +

Bob Pelletier